

## **OUR SWABSOLUTION™ SOLUTION! IMPROVING THE FIRST PASS SUCCESS RATE IN A DATABASING LABORATORY THROUGH A MODIFIED DIRECT AMPLIFICATION METHOD**

Anastasia Kondakova, Ashley Murray, [Jessica de Tagvos](#)

United States Army Criminal Investigation Laboratory, Defense Forensic Science Center

The expansion of the CODIS Core Loci came with new challenges. In 2017, laboratories across the country had transitioned or were transitioning to “megaplex” STR kits. While kit sensitivity and power of discrimination increased with these kits, the rise in the number of loci amplified seems to have created a new challenge—samples seem to be weak or missing alleles more frequently than with multiplex kits. Furthermore, the addition of more loci and more complex interpretation has increased the amount of time required to perform analysis.

The CODIS Branch utilizes the GlobalFiler™ Express (GFE) Kit for direct amplification (high throughput processing) of buccal samples on Whatman® FTA® Cards (FTA). Upon switching to this megaplex kit, the first pass success rate (FPSR) decreased from 91% using the previous multiplex kit to approximately 80%.

Possible solutions to this problem were investigated. Five methods (1 or 2 Tris-Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid (TE) buffer washes, AmpFLSTR™ PCR Enhancer, AmpSolution™, and SwabSolution™) were assessed. SwabSolution™ produced the best results in preliminary studies.

In direct comparison to the standard GFE protocol, not only did the FPSR increase to over 90% using the SwabSolution™ method, but overall signal was improved such that injection times used were able to be reduced by 50% (24 seconds to 12 seconds).

Since implementation of the modified direct amplification using SwabSolution™, the FPSR has increased from 80% to 93%. In addition, the number of samples not producing full profiles with the direct amplification method after repeated attempts was reduced from 10% to 1%.

The SwabSolution™ direct amplification method for processing FTA samples increases efficiency by improving results and decreasing analysis time.

NOTE: The opinions or assertions contained herein are the private views of the author(s) and are not to be construed as official or as reflecting the views of the Department of the Army (DA) or the Department of Defense (DoD).

Names of commercial manufacturers or products included are incidental only, and inclusion does not imply endorsement by the authors, Defense Forensic Science Center (DFSC), U.S. Army Criminal Investigation Command, Office of the Provost Marshall General (OPMG), DA, or DoD.